

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,238	09/26/2001		Kristin Verschueren	4232.1US	4232.1US 1860	
24247	7590	10/30/2003		EXAMINER		
TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550				CARLSON	CARLSON, KAREN C	
	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1653		

DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/964,238	VERSCHUEREN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1653
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,10,18,21 and 22</u> is/are pending	in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-3, 8, 10, 18, 21, and 22</u> are subject	to restriction and/or election requ	uirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-···	• •
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority und r 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	• •	
Attachment(s)	o priority under 00 0.0.0. 33 120	una/01 14 1.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/964,238

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 4-7, 9, 11-17, 19-20, and 23 have been canceled. Claims 1-3, 8, 10, 18, 21, and 22 are currently pending and are subject to restriction.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 1, drawn to a process for producing a SMAD interacting protein via a 2 hybrid assay, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- II. Claims 2, 3, 8, and 9, drawn to a SMAD interacting protein SIP1 (SEQ ID NO: 2) that does not interact with XSMAD1 in yeast, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 2, 18, and 22, drawn to a SMAD interacting protein (SEQ ID NO: 4)that does interact with XSMAD1 in yeast, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- IV. Claims 2 and 21, drawn to a SMAD interacting protein comprising SEQ ID NO: 21, classified in class 530, subclass 300.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The SMAD interacting protein of Inventions II, III, and IV differ in structure and function from each other. Therefore, Inventions II, III, and IV are patentably distinct one from the other.

Inventions I and Inventions II, III, and IV are related as method of making a product and the product made. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for making the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process of using that product (MPEP 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method as claimed can be used to make materially different products such as in any one of the SMAD interacting proteins of Inventions II, III, and IV, or by making other SMAD interacting proteins as noted on page 4 of the specification.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,238

Art Unit: 1653

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## F.P.: Ochiai/Brouwer Rejoinder form paragraph

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP§ 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise includ all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C.§ 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP§ 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,238

Art Unit: 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-0034. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM, off alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Christopher Low can be reached on 703-308-2329. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-872-9306.

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

far - (achon Carkon Fr)

Page 4